

(4)

All participants	(applicant,	applicant's re	presentative,	PTO.	personne

(1) JOHN MABRY. (3) Janice Rice

Date of Interview: 02 June 2009.

(2) Rita J. Desai.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Affirm Picar equested interview to discuss access of enablement insection which was made final on 11/1000. Affirm Picar provided a flexamine copy of the proceed called memorities and discuss possible amendments with Examiners Mather and Desail. After Pice stated that she would consider Examiners' successions and file an after file response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLIDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 73.04), If an pay to the last Office action has already been flied, APPUCANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary soft Pecard of Interview.

/John	Mabry/	
Exami	ner, Art Uni	11625